

# On Stanley's theorem & It's Generalizations

Suproakash Hazra

October 7, 2016

## Abstract

In this paper we go on to discuss about Stanley's theorem in Integer partitions. We give two different versions for the proof of the generalization of Stanley's theorem illustrating different techniques that may be applied to profitably understand the underlying structure behind the theorem.

*KEYWORDS:* Stanley's theorem, Tilings, Partition identities.

## Introduction:

Stanley's theorem is an important result in the theory of partitions. Various generalizations have been made to it over the course of time including Elder's theorem[1] and Dastidar & Gupta's[2] subsequent generalization. In their paper they go on to show how the sum of the number of distinct members of the partition is not just equal to the number of 1's present in the partition of the same number but is also equal to the sum of the number of  $i$ 's in the partitions of all the numbers from  $n$  to  $(n+i-1)$ , where  $i$  can be any positive integer. In this paper we go on to provide different combinatorial arguments to prove such generalizations. A proof of the generalization involves the use of tilings of a

1x∞ board. This concept can be further generalised to cover similar properties for overpartitions as well. To prove the generalization we first make use of some lemmas which follow subsequently in the article.

**LEMMA 1.1 :**

Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two positive integers with  $k \leq n$ , then for each positive integer  $i$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), we have  $n + i = q_i k + r_i$ , where  $0 \leq r_i < k$ ,  
then  $q_i = q_0$  , for all  $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$   
 $= q_0 + 1$ , for all  $i \geq s$   
And  $r_i = r_0 + i$  , for all  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ ,  
 $= i - s$  , for all  $i \geq s$  where  $s = k - r_0$

**Proof of lemma 1.1 :**

Let us consider the two integers  $n+i$  and  $k$ ,  
then by division algorithm there exists two integers  $q_i$  and  $r_i$   
such that,  $n + i = q_i k + r_i$  with  $0 \leq r_i < k$ . Now,  $n = q_0 k + r_0$  .  
We assume,  $k - r_0 = s$   
Then we have the following,  
 $n = q_0 k + r_0$ ;  
 $n + 1 = q_0 k + (r_0 + 1)$ ;  
 $n + 2 = q_0 k + (r_0 + 2)$   
...  
 $n + s - 1 = q_0 k + (r_0 + s - 1)$   
 $n + s = (q_0 + 1)k$   
 $n + s + 1 = (q_0 + 1)k + 1$   
 $n + s + 2 = (q_0 + 1)k + 2$

.....

$$n + k - 1 = (q_0 + 1)k + (r_0 - 1)$$

By the above formula it is clear that

$$q_i = q_0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq s - 1$$

$$= q_0 + 1 \text{ for all } i \geq s$$

Hence the lemma 1.1

Notation:  $Q_k(n + i) :=$ Number of occurences of  $k$

in the unordered partitions of  $(n + i)$

$B(n) :=$ Sum of the total number of distinct members

in all the partitions of  $n$ .

$$A(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Q_k(n + i) = \text{Sum of all the number of occurrences of } k \text{ in all}$$

unordered partitions of  $n+i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$

## LEMMA 1.2 :

$$Q_k(n + i) = \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} P(n + i - jk), \text{ for some fixed } i.$$

## Proof of lemma 1.2 :

For a fixed  $i$ , considering the two integers  $n+i$  and  $k$ , then by division

algorithm there exists two integers  $q_i$  and  $r_i$  such that,  $n + i = q_i k + r_i$

with  $0 \leq r_i < k$  (as mentioned in lemma 1.1)

For a fixed  $i$ , the number of partitions of  $n+i$ ,

where  $k$  occurs exactly once is  $P(n + i - k) - P(n + i - 2k)$ ,

the number of partitions of  $n+i$ ,

where  $k$  occurs exactly twice is  $P(n + i - 2k) - P(n + i - 3k)$ ,

The number of partitions of  $n+i$ , where  $k$  occurs

exactly  $(q_i - 1)$  times is  $P(n + i - (q_i - 1)k) - P(n + i - q_i k)$

And , the number of partitions of  $n+i$ , where  $k$  occurs

exactly  $q_i$  times is  $P(r_i)$ .

So  $Q_k(n+i)$

= The number of occurrences of  $k$  in all unordered partitions of  $n+i$

$$= P(n+i-k) - P(n+i-2k) + 2[P(n+i-2k) - P(n+i-3k)] + \dots$$

$$\dots + (q_i - 1)[P(n+i-(q_i-1)k) - P(n+i-q_i k)] + q_i P(r_i)$$

$$= P(n+i-k) + P(n+i-2k) + P(n+i-3k) + \dots$$

$$\dots + P(n+i-(q_i-1)k) - (q_i-1)P(n+i-q_i k) + q_i P(r_i)$$

$$= P(n+i-k) + P(n+i-2k) + P(n+i-3k) + \dots$$

$$\dots + P(n+i-(q_i-1)k) - q_i P(n+i-q_i k) + P(n+i-q_i k) + q_i P(r_i)$$

$$= P(n+i-k) + P(n+i-2k) + P(n+i-3k) + \dots$$

$$\dots + P(n+i-(q_i-1)k) + P(n+i-q_i k) [\text{since, } n+i-q_i k = r_i]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} P(n+i-jk),$$

Hence the lemma 1.2.

*Observation:* When  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is fixed for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [P(n+i-tk)] = P(n-tk) + P(n+1-tk) + P(n+2-tk) + \dots$$

$$+ P(n+k-1-tk)$$

$$= P(n-tk) + P(n-(tk-1)) + P(n-(tk-2)) + \dots + P(n-((t-1)k+1)).$$

Now we come to our main theorem which states that,

### **THEOREM 1 :**

$$A(n) = B(n) \text{ for all integers } n$$

### **Proof of theorem:**

$$\text{We consider } A(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Q_k(n+i) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [\sum P(n+i-jk)]$$

{By lemma 1.2}

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [P(n+i-k)] + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [P(n+i-2k) + \dots + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [P(n+i-(q_i-1)k)] + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [P(n+i-q_i k)]$$

from which it follows that  $A(n)$

$$= [P(n-1) + P(n-2) + P(n-3) + \dots + P(n-k)] + [P(n-(k+1)) + P(n-(k+2)) + \dots + P(n-2k)] \dots + [P(n-((q_0-1)k+1) + P(n-((q_0-1)k+2) + \dots + P(n-q_0 k)] + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} [P(n+i-q_i k)]$$

(by above observation)

$$= P(n-1) + P(n-2) + P(n-3) + \dots + P(n-q_0 k) + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} P(r_i)$$

(Using lemma 1.1)

$$= P(n-1) + P(n-2) + P(n-3) + \dots + P(r_0) + [P(r_0-1) + P(r_0-2) + \dots + P(1) + 1]$$

This is the expression for  $A(n)$ . Now to find the expression for  $B(n)$  using  $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(n-1)$ . For finding the expression for  $B(n)$ , we will look at this sum to count the number of partitions of  $n$  in which the number  $i$  appears and sum those result for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now the number of partitions of  $n$  in which  $i$  appears is  $P(n-i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and with the special case  $i = n$  is 1. Hence  $B(n) = 1 + P(1) + P(2) + \dots + P(n-3) + P(n-2) + P(n-1)$ .

Hence  $A(n) = B(n)$

This completes our proof.

## 2. A TILING PROOF OF THE EXTENSION OF STANLEY'S THEOREM

We consider a  $1 \times \infty$  board. We will tile this board using white squares and finitely many black squares. We allow the stacking of the black squares. Let  $T$  be the set of all such tilings. Let a white tile will have measure 1 and a black tile in position  $i$  will have measure  $q^i$ . Now we define the measure of a tiling to be  $M(t) = \prod m(t)$ . (where the product runs over all the squares for a particular tiling  $t \in T$ . And  $m$  denotes the measure of the squares for a particular tiling  $t$ .

### Partition representation of a tiling:

Suppose we assume a partition of  $m = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_K$ , denoting this partition by  $\mu$ . Then we associate a tiling  $t_\mu$  such that, it has a black tiles in position  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_K$ . Now as the numbers  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_K$  may not all distinct so we can have more than one black tiles in some position. Also we obtain  $M(t_\mu) = q^{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_K}$

Now we need these following lemmas in order to prove the theorem.

### LEMMA 2.1 :

For each  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , the number of partitions of  $n$  with atleast  $(k-i)$  times  $r = \text{Number of partitions of } n+ir \text{ with atleast } r \text{ times } k$ .

### Proof of lemma 2.1 :

Consider  $A = \text{Set of all tilings with atleast } (k-i) \text{ black tiles in position } r$ .

And  $B = \text{Set of all tilings with atleast } r \text{ black tiles in position } k$ .

Now we define  $T: A \rightarrow B$ , by the following way,

We take a tiling from  $A$ , then we remove  $(k-i)$  black tiles from position  $r$  and

add  $r$  black tiles in position  $k$ .

Then  $T$  is well defined map. In order to prove  $T$  is bijective

we define a mapping  $S: B \rightarrow A$ , by the following way, we take a tiling from  $B$ , then we remove  $r$  black tiles from position  $k$  and add  $(k-i)$  black tiles in position  $r$ . Then  $S$  is well defined map. Also  $S T = \text{Id}_A$  and  $T S = \text{Id}_B$ , the identity mappings on  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.

Now clearly the change of measure under the map  $T$  is  $q^{-r(k-i)+kr} = q^{ir}$ .

Now take  $A^* = \text{Set of all tilings of } A \text{ with measure } q^n$ .

Then for each tiling of  $A^*$  we obtain a bijective Correspondence with a tiling of  $B$  of measure  $q^{n+ir}$ .

Now every tiling gives a partitions and vice versa.

So by the Partition representation of a tiling,

we have that, the number of partitions of  $n$

with atleast  $(k-i)$  times  $r =$  number of partitions of  $n+ir$

with atleast  $r$  times  $k$ .

Hence the lemma 2.1.

## LEMMA 2.2 :

Number of partitions of  $n$  with atleast  $i$  times  $r =$  The number of partitions of  $n$  with atleast  $r$  times  $i$ .

### Proof of lemma 2.2:

Consider  $M = \text{Set of all tilings with atleast } i \text{ black tiles in position } r$ . And  $N = \text{Set of all tilings with atleast } r \text{ black tiles in position } i$ . Now we define  $Q: M \rightarrow N$ , by the following way, We take a tiling from  $M$ , then we remove  $i$  black tiles from position  $r$  and add  $r$  black tiles in position  $i$ . Then  $Q$  is well defined map. In order to prove  $Q$  is bijective we define a mapping  $Z: N \rightarrow M$ , by

the following way, we take a tiling from  $N$ , then we remove  $r$  black tiles from position  $i$  and add  $i$  black tiles in position  $r$ . Then  $Z$  is well defined map. Also  $QZ = \text{Id}_N$  and  $ZQ = \text{Id}_M$ , the identity mappings on  $N$  and  $M$  respectively. Now clearly there is no change of measure under the map  $Q$ . Now take  $M^* = \text{Set of all tilings of } A \text{ with measure } q^n$ . Then for each tiling of  $A^*$  we obtain a bijective Correspondence with a tiling of  $N$  of measure  $q^n$ . Now every tiling gives a partitions and vice versa. So by the Partition representation of a tiling, we have the lemma 2.2.

### LEMMA 2.3 :

The number of partitions of  $n$  with atleast 1 times  $r =$  The number of partitions of  $(n+kj-r)$  with atleast  $j$  times  $k$ . we assume  $n = qk+r$ , and  $1 \leq j \leq q$ . In particular,  $V_j^k(n+kj-r) = V_s^k(n+ks-r)$ , for all  $1 \leq j, s \leq n$  Where  $V_p^q(m) =$  The number of partitions of  $m$  with atleast  $p$  times  $q$ .

### Proof of lemma 2.3:

Let  $C = \text{Set of all tilings with atleast 1 black tiles in position } r$ . And  $D = \text{Set of all tilings with atleast } j \text{ black tiles in position } k$ . Now we define  $F: C \rightarrow D$ , by the following way, We take a tiling from  $C$ , then we remove 1 black tiles from position  $r$  and add  $j$  black tiles in position  $k$ . Then  $F$  is well defined map. In order to prove  $F$  is bijective we define a mapping  $G: D \rightarrow C$ , by the following way, we take a tiling from  $D$ , then we remove  $j$  black tiles from position  $k$  and add 1 black tiles in position  $r$ . Then  $G$  is well defined map. Also  $GF = \text{Id}_C$  and  $FG = \text{Id}_D$ , the identity mappings on  $C$  and  $D$  respectively. Now clearly the change of measure under the map  $F$  is  $q^{kj-r}$ .

$C^\# = \text{Set of all tilings of } C \text{ with measure } q^n$ . Then for each tiling of  $C^\#$  we obtain a bijective Correspondence with a tiling of  $D$  of measure  $q^{n+kj-r}$ .

Now every tiling gives a partition and vice versa. So by the Partition representation of a tiling, we have that, the number of partitions of  $n$  with atleast 1 times  $r$  = number of partitions of  $n+kj-r$  with atleast  $j$  times  $k$ .

So notationally,  $V_j^k(n+kj-r) = V_1^r(n)$ .

Since the right hand side of the above expression does not depend on  $j$ , so clearly we have that,  $V_j^k(n+kj-r) = V_s^k(n+ks-r)$ , for all  $1 \leq j, s \leq n$

Hence the lemma 2.3.

Now we come to our main theorem.

### **Proof of theorem :**

First we put  $r=1$  in lemma 2.1.

Then we get, the number of partitions of  $n$  with atleast  $(k-i)$  times 1 = number of partitions of  $n+i$  with atleast 1 times  $k$ .

Notationally  $V_{(k-i)}^1(n) = V_1^k(n+i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$  We sum this over all  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$  and obtain,  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_{(k-i)}^1(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_1^k(n+i) \dots\dots (1)$

Now we put  $r=1$  in lemma 2.2. and obtain,  $V_i^1(n) = V_1^i(n)$  We sum this over all  $k \leq i \leq n$  and obtain,  $\sum_{i=k}^n V_i^1(n) = \sum_{i=k}^n V_1^i(n) \dots\dots(2)$

We add (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_{(k-i)}^1(n) + \sum_{i=k}^n V_i^1(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_1^k(n+i) + \sum_{i=k}^n V_1^i(n)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n V_i^1(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_1^k(n+i) + \sum_{i=k}^n V_1^i(n)$$

Number of 1's present in the all unordered partitions of  $n$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_1^k(n+i) + \sum_{i=k}^n V_1^i(n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} V_1^k(n+i) + V_1^k(n) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n V_1^i(n) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_1^k(n+i) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n V_1^i(n) \dots\dots\dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

Now by lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=k+1}^n V_1^r(n) &= \sum_{i=k+1}^n V_j^k(n + kj - r) \\
\sum_{i=k+1}^n V_1^r(n) &= \sum_{i=k+1}^{2k} V_j^k(n + kj - r) + \sum_{i=2k+1}^{3k} V_j^k(n + kj - r) + \dots \\
&+ \sum_{i=(q-1)k+1}^{qk} (V_j^k(n + kj - r)) \\
\sum_{i=k+1}^n V_1^r(n) &= \sum_{i=k+1}^{2k} V_2^k(n + 2k - r) + \sum_{i=2k+1}^{3k} V_3^k(n + 3k - r) + \dots \\
&\dots \sum_{i=(q-1)k+1}^{qk} (V_q^k(n + kq - r)) \\
\sum_{i=k+1}^n V_1^r(n) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_2^k(n + i) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_3^k(n + i) + \dots \\
&\dots + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_q^k(n + i). \dots \dots \dots (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Now by (4) and (6) we have that, Number of 1's present in the all unordered partitions of n

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_1^k(n + i) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_2^k(n + i) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_3^k(n + i) + \dots \\
&\dots + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_q^k(n + i) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^q V_j^k(n) + \sum_{j=1}^q V_j^k(n + 1) + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^q V_j^k(n + k - 1) \\
&= Q_k(n) + Q_k(n + 1) + Q_k(n + 2) + \dots + Q_k(n + k - 1) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Q_k(n + i)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof.

## Conclusion:

In this paper we have tried to demonstrate how simple combinatorial proofs can be effectively applied to problems involving unordered partitions. Similar to the extension of Stanley's theorem, we can apply similar techniques to prove further extensions of Elder's theorem and not just for regular partitions but for all classes of overpartitions. The concept of tilings could also be profitably extended to study similar results in the area of planar partition

## References

- [1] Wolfram Mathworld. Elder's Theorem. 2010. Available at <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/EldersTheorem.html>
- [2] Dastidar, Gupta. Generalization of a few results in integer partitions
- [3] Andrews, George, The Theory of Partitions, in "Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications," Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1976.